

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** EDF Sizewell C Preliminary Meeting issues to be discussed.  
**Date:** 08 March 2021 21:11:46  
**Importance:** High

---

8<sup>th</sup> March 2021

Dear Sir or Madam

This response is offered without prejudice as there remain far too few firm commitments from EDF.

Fundamental outstanding consultation procedural issues for discussion.

From Mr & Mrs G Lacey [REDACTED] – Key Stakeholder's.

- EDF'S recent alterations including the proposed SLR alterations have been provided with unrealistic and limited timescales.

Suffolk County Council, East Suffolk Council, The Environmental Agency, RSPB Minsmere, Suffolk Wildlife Trust inclusive, are all confirming EDF have continued to fail to provide adequate information and are all up against unrealistic tight timescales. This procedural timescale is unacceptable, as are the late alterations.

EDF are failing to provide full engagement with the public and with all involved. Offering virtual meetings at this late stage in the planning process is NOT suitable. It is strongly requested to allow the public etc to fully engage in consultation after the Covid lockdown has been lifted, and allowing for an appropriate timescale as people will be booking holidays for the first time in over 12 months.

- All of the below procedural issues remain outstanding and are pertinent to key stakeholders including Mr & Mrs G Lacey. It is strongly requested the issues to be formerly raised and addressed within the Preliminary Meeting for the purpose of ongoing procedural measures to be put in place covering such.

1. EDF have failed to engage with key stakeholders, including Mr & Mrs G Lacey, with the current proposals and changes to the SLR. As key stakeholder's EDF have failed to provide full and detailed mitigation measures regarding the most recent alterations to the proposed SLR with particular reference to Fordley Road Middleton.
2. EDF must engage and provide full and up to date research regarding the full and total impact on properties within close proximity to the proposed SLR, whilst providing appropriate detailed mitigation and individual compensation. Full and detailed mitigation and compensation regarding changes by EDF of the SLR must be provided to all key stakeholders including Mr & Mrs Lacey, EDF to provide with urgency.
3. EDF have failed to engage and provide research on health implications for key stakeholders living close to the proposed and altered SLR due to vehicle emissions. EDF are aware carbon emissions will have a large negative impact on Mrs Lacey's diagnosed asthma, but have failed to provide prevention measures to Mr & Mrs Lacey. EDF must engage and confirm with key stakeholders including

Mr & Mrs Lacey how carbon emissions from vehicles using the proposed SLR will be greatly reduced.

4. EDF have failed to engage and confirm how emergency services will gain access to the single track road networks affected by the proposed SLR, without roads becoming rat runs or gridlocked.
5. EDF have failed to address and provide a full, detailed and individually appropriate compensation procedure scheme. EDF's current compensation scheme, is completely inadequate, offering a generalised minimal percentage compensation scheme which is limited to when a vendor sells a property only.

Please note:

It is also apparent the Preliminary Meeting coincides with the pre election timescale at Suffolk County Council (24<sup>th</sup> March – 6<sup>th</sup> May). With no new Suffolk County Council until 7<sup>th</sup> May.

Plus Scottish Power's DCO remains in place until 6<sup>th</sup> April putting pressure on individuals, Council Officers and NGO's.

Yours sincerely

M & Mrs G Lacey

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.